

# **ESMA's enforcement powers**

**Amandine Zelenko** 

Head of Enforcement Unit (ESMA)

18 June 2025

ESMA43-403248321-314



## **Setting the scene**

• For over 10 years, ESMA was the only European Supervisory Authority ('ESA') with direct supervisory and enforcement powers.



Since 2014, there have been 20 enforcement actions, some cases led to several decisions.



\* One decision concerning two entities.

# **Overview of ESMA's Enforcement** process





- Supervisors identify and refer potential breaches to an Independent Investigating Officer (IIO), appointed from the Enforcement Unit.
- A Statement of Findings ('SoF') is submitted to the person(s) subject to investigation ('PSI'). The final SoF is later submitted to the Board of Supervisors ('BoS'), the decision-making body, which takes the sanction decision.
- The Board of Appeal ('BoA') is the first appeal body to ESMA's sanctioning decisions.

# **Procedural safeguards**



## IIO

- Must not be/have been involved in the direct/indirect supervision/registration process of the PSI concerned
- Must perform his/her functions independently from BoS/ESMA
- Must not participate in the deliberations of BoS or in any other way intervene in the decision-making process of BoS
- In practice:
- Experienced lawyer from Enforcement Unit
- Clear separation between the different stages; needed interactions included in the file

### PSI

- Has the right to be heard before the IIO and the BoS;
- Has the right to request access to the file;
- Has the right to be supported by legal counsel.
- In practice:
- Comments usually received in written, though IIO and BoS can hold an oral hearing



## **Outcome of enforcement cases**

- Based on the IIO's file and PSI's submissions, the BoS makes a final decision on
  - whether any infringement has been committed, and
  - the sanctions to be imposed: supervisory measure and/or fine (if negligence/intent is found).
- ESMA has no discretion when issuing fines (though some newer regulations are % turnover based).
- Proportionality is built into the regulations: generally, base amount tied to turnover and adjusted with mitigating/aggravating factors.
- PPPs have never been imposed so far.

## Amount of fines in EUR by entity and cumulated fine (2014-2025)



## Total: EUR 17,300,500

USE



## **Publication**

#### ESMA must disclose to the public

- Every fine and periodic penalty payment
- Supervisory measures, e.g. Public Notice (within 10 working days)

#### ransparency

• ESMA also publishes the Decision which contains the reasoning

#### Limits to publication

- disclosure "would seriously jeopardise the financial markets or cause disproportionate damage to the parties involved"
- "disclosure shall not contain personal data" (EMIR)

### In practical terms

- ESMA invites the PSI to perform a confidentiality check
- Business secrets
- Personal data/rights of defence of third parties



# **Review by the Board of Appeal**

	Decision	
Without undue delay		Within 10 working days
Notification to the PSI	Communication to EC/NCAs (EBA and EIOPA for CRAs)	Make public/disclose on the website
	Within 3 months of notification to the	e PSI
	Appeal before Board of Appeal of the principle no suspension)	e ESAs (in
	Within 2 months from notification of B	oA decision
	Action for annulment before C	JEU



# **Review by the Board of Appeal**

## Nordic Banks BoA remitted decisions to ESMA (negligence was not established)

- BoA handled all four appeals together for **procedural efficiency** and held one hearing where each party made oral representations.
- A suspension request was denied.
- Very specific factual circumstances: BoS had found negligence while **IIO** had not.
- BoA's support of test for **negligence** as applied by ESMA in all previous relevant decisions, particularly regarding:
  - high standard of care required of financial services providers and CRAs; and
  - relevance of the CJEU case law developed in the context of competition law.

## Scope Ratings BoA upheld ESMA's decision in its entirety

- Regarding **negligence**, BoA reemphasised the high standard of care expected.
- **Doubt** regarding how to interpret a provision should benefit to the investigated entity.
- Principle of proportionality contained in several provisions of the CRA Regulation and ESMA does not have discretion to calibrate the fine (must apply the methodology set out in the CRA Regulation).
- BoA recognised ESMA's margin of appreciation as a specialist regulator and supervisor.
- BoA review is limited to verifying whether the BoS complied with all applicable procedural rules, duly stated its reasons, accurately stated the facts, and did not commit a manifest error of assessment or a misuse of powers.

# Other ESMA's enforcement initiatives



# Annual consolidated report on sanctions and measures

To enhance transparency on national sanctions

To foster enforcement convergence





#### www.esma.europa.eu

() <u>@ESMAComms</u>

in European Securities and Markets Authority (ESMA)